Comment on "Partitioning tropical oceanic convective and stratiform rains by draft strength" by David Atlas et al.

Sandra E. Yuter and Robert A. Houze Jr.

Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

Received 28 November 2000; revised 15 July 2001; accepted 30 July 2001; published 8 January 2002.

INDEX TERMS: 0320 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Cloud physics and chemistry

1. Initial Comment

[1] The crux of this comment is to clarify attribution. If someone looks at a published graph and has a different interpretation from that of the original authors, that new interpretation should not be attributed to the original authors.

[2] The references given by Atlas et al. [2000] regarding distinct convective and stratiform reflectivity Z to rain rate Rrelations attributed to Yuter and Houze [1997] are incorrect. The convective and stratiform Z-R relations labeled as those of Yuter and Houze in Table 3 (incorrectly referred to there as 1996) and mentioned in the text on pp. 2262, 2264, and 2265 of Atlas et al. [2000] do not appear anywhere in the Yuter and Houze [1997] paper. The Yuter and Houze [1997] study used two-dimensional (2-D) particle probe drop spectra collected by the National Center for Atmospheric Research Electra aircraft during the Tropical Ocean-Global Atmosphere (TOGA) Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Response Experiment (COARE) to compute Z and R values over 6 s intervals of flight track (~1400 L sample volumes). These data were classified into convective and stratiform subsets using radar data obtained by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration WP-3D airborne radar. Segments of the Electra flight track were categorized by noting when the Electra flew within regions of convective and stratiform precipitation classified according to a radar reflectivity texture algorithm based on the paper by Steiner et al. [1995]. The main conclusion of the Yuter and Houze [1997] study was that the populations of radarclassified convective and stratiform Z-R points overlapped in dbZ-log R space and did not form two statistically distinct populations.

[3] The previous statements do not rule out the possibility that different physical processes can yield different drop size distributions (DSD) [e.g., *Braun and Houze*, 1994, pp. 2749–2750]. However, in the large areas of radar-classified convective and stratiform precipitation examined in this study, the physical processes mixed, exhibited natural variation, and were subject to sampling error to such a degree that the *Z* and *R*values associated with the DSD samples in the convective and stratiform populations did not form distinct populations. A classification method that can truly distinguish between dominant precipitation growth by vapor deposition versus accretion (riming and collection/coalescence) would have the property of yielding distinct populations in low-rain-rate regions of db*Z*-log *R* space where both processes are known to occur.

2. Follow-up

[4] In response to the reply by *Atlas et al.* [2002], the following points should be made. We are mystified as to why D. Atlas and his coauthors would use a rain rate threshold of 10 mm h⁻¹ [*Atlas et al.*, 2002, Table 1] to classify the DSD samples into convective and stratiform subsets. Such a classification is inconsistent with both the radar-based classification used by *Yuter and Houze* [1997] and the vertical-velocity-based classification used by *Atlas et al.* [2000]. It is well accepted that vapor deposition, the dominant, but not exclusive, precipitation growth process in stratiform precipitation regions [*Houghton*, 1968; *Houze*, 1997], cannot usually produce rain rates >10 mm h⁻¹. However, it is also well known that both vapor deposition and accretion processes can yield rain rates $\leq 10 \text{ mm hr}^{-1}$ and hence that a rain rate threshold method cannot correctly delineate between convective and stratiform precipitation regions [e.g., *Steiner et al.*, 1995, Figure 9].

References

- Atlas, D., C. W. Ulbrich, F. D. Marks Jr., R. A. Black, E. Amitai, P. T. Willis, and C. E. Samsury, Partitioning tropical oceanic convective and stratiform rains by draft strength, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D2), 2259– 2267, 2000.
- Atlas, D., C. W. Ulbrich, and F. D. Marks Jr., Reply to comment by S. E. Yuter and R. A. Houze Jr. on "Partitioning tropical oceanic convective and stratiform rains by draft strength" by D. Atlas et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, in press, 2002.
- Braun, S. A., and R. A. Houze Jr., The transition zone and secondary maximum of radar reflectivity behind a midlatitude squall line: Results retrieved from Doppler-radar data, J. Atmos. Sci., 51, 2733–2755, 1994.
- Houghton, H. G., On precipitation mechanisms and their artificial modification, J. Appl. Meteorol., 7, 851–859, 1968.
- Houze, R. A., Jr., Stratiform precipitation in regions of convection: A meteorological paradox?, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 78, 2179–2196, 1997.
- Steiner, M., R. A. Houze Jr., and S. E. Yuter, Climatological characterization of three-dimensional storm structure from operational radar and rain gauge data, J. Appl. Meteorol., 34, 1978–2007, 1995.
- Yuter, S. E., and R. A. Houze Jr., Measurements of raindrop size distributions over the Pacific warm pool and implications for Z-R relations, J. Appl. Meteorol., 36, 847–867, 1997.

R. A. Houze Jr. and S. E. Yuter, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Box 351640, Seattle, WA 98195-1640, USA. (houze@atmos.washington.edu; yuter@atmos.washington.edu)